

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,173	BECK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Harvey Cohen (Appl. Rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 17-18, 32

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)